

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/522,696	WANG ET AL.	

  

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
Raymond Covington	1625	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Raymond Covington USPTO.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Rayn Cagle (app rep).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 5 June 2009

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic
- Video Conference
- Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*possible 112 1st*

Claims discussed:

*1-13,62-69,101-109 and 113-118*

Prior art documents discussed:

*none*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Applicants agreed to change the term "analog" in claim 1 to -derivative-. Claims 1-13,62-69,101-109 and 113-118 are allowed.*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Raymond Covington/  
Examiner, Art Unit 1625

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)